



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**NUTRIENT AND YIELD SPATIAL VARIABILITY IN
A COMMERCIAL MALAYSIAN PADDY FIELD**

SWAPAN KUMAR ROY

IB 2001 5

**NUTRIENT AND YIELD SPATIAL VARIABILITY IN
A COMMERCIAL MALAYSIAN PADDY FIELD**

By

SWAPAN KUMAR ROY

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Institute of Bioscience
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

August 2001



DEDICATED TO MY BELOVED PARENTS



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**NUTRIENT AND YIELD SPATIAL VARIABILITY IN
A COMMERCIAL MALAYSIAN PADDY FIELD**

By

SWAPAN KUMAR ROY

August 2001

Chairman: Professor Kamaruzaman Jusoff, Ph.D.

Faculty: Institute of Bioscience

In recent times, one of the major challenges in paddy plantation is the lack of proper interpretation of yield maps for site-specific management, and the identification and understanding of the causal factors influencing the variability of paddy yields. The ability to find and comprehend the soil factors influencing yield variability of paddy will enable to manage them more efficiently. A study was conducted in a commercial paddy farm at Kahang, Johor, southern part of Peninsular Malaysia with the objectives (i) to quantify and characterize the nature of spatial soil N, P and K variation, (ii) to quantify and characterize the spatial yield and grain moisture variation, and (iii) to establish the inter-relationship between paddy yields and soil N, P and K, so as to identify the ranges of soil nutrients for maximum paddy growth and production for the proposed management zone based on paddy yield variability. Soil samples (within 0-15 cm depth) were collected at 20 m x 20 m grid pattern at the beginning of paddy (MR211 variety) planting and analyzed for total N, available P and exchangeable K in the study plots. A GeoExplorer II was used to record the soil sampling points and boundary of the plots to help interpret the spatial maps in GIS platform with proper coordinates. Paddy yields and grain moistures were recorded for harvested paddy sample on a grid pattern of 55 m x 30 m during the harvesting period. Relationship between paddy yields and soil N, P and K were examined using regression analysis. Geostatistical analysis was used to

characterize the spatial variation of soil N, P and K, and paddy yields. The coefficient of variation of soil nutrients (N, P and K) for the study area was more than 50% where soil P indicated very high (130%). Significant positive correlations were found between each pair of soil nutrients (N, P and K). Semivariance analysis showed that the spatial dependence for soil N, P and K was reached at 350 m to 450 m (2 samples ha⁻¹ where plot width was 70 m). The kriged soil N, P and K maps showed that a large portion of area (> 80%) in each plot was with soil N lower than 3 g kg⁻¹, soil P lower than 10 mg kg⁻¹, and soil K more than 0.45 cmol(+) kg⁻¹. The paddy yield variation was more than 47% and was significant within and between plots. The semivariance for paddy yield was attained at 380 m, suggesting that the spatial correlation existed within 2.6 ha (70 m x 380 m) where 70 m is width of the plot. The paddy grain moisture showed randomly distributed after a separation distance of 30 m and it has no significant variation within the plots. The yield kriged map illustrated that the middle portion (> 50% of the area) of each plot has lower yield (< 3.5 t ha⁻¹) compared to both ends in lengthwise. Significant positive correlations were obtained between each soil nutrient (N, P and K) and yield. In the combined effect of soil N, P and K on yields, soil N and P together could explain 41% of yield variations where P accounted for 36% and N accounted for 5% of the total yield variation ($Y = 2.41 + 0.39 X_N + 0.20 X_P$; $R^2 = 0.41^{**}$, $n = 159$). Based on this inter-relationship, a management zone was suggested in yield maps where soil N and P need to be improved in moderate and low ranges, respectively, for obtaining maximum yield (5 t ha⁻¹). Some selected soil properties were measured at different locations and the variations were found to be 4.25 to 4.54 in pH, 0.77 to 0.92 g cm⁻³ in bulk density, and the soil texture was clay with 75-83% of clay content. The yield difference between estimated yield from spatial maps and actual yield from the combine harvester was $\pm 10\%$. To characterize the combine harvester, average machine operation grain loss was determined which was about 2% of total grain yield, and the average machine field

capacity was 1.01 ha h⁻¹ with 72% of field efficiency. The results exhibit the potential of interpreting the spatial yield maps to develop management zone for site-specific fertilizer application. Therefore, GPS, GIS and geostatistical technique are useful for assessing patterns of soil nutrients (N, P and K) and yield variation, and their interpretation. This integrated technique is a useful prognostics management tool for site-specific fertilizer management for single crop cultivation.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**VARIASI RUANG NUTRIEN DAN HASIL TUAIAN DI SAWAH
PADI KOMERSIL DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

SWAPAN KUMAR ROY

Ogos 2001

Pengerusi: Profesor Kamaruzaman Jusoff, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Institut Biosains

Pada masa kini cabaran utama dalam penanaman padi adalah interpretasi peta hasil yang sesuai untuk pengurusan tapak spesifik, dan identifikasi serta pemahaman faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi variasi hasil padi. Kemampuan untuk menemui dan memahami faktor-faktor tanah yang mempengaruhi variasi hasil tuaian padi akan membolehkan pengurusan secara lebih efisien. Satu kajian telah dilaksanakan di ladang padi komersil di Kahang, Johor, di bahagian selatan Semenanjung Malaysia dengan objektif (i) untuk mengira dan mencirikan tabiat variasi ruang nutrien N, P dan K tanah (ii) untuk mengira dan mencirikan variasi ruang hasil dan kelembapan bijirin, dan (iii) untuk mengenalpasti hubungkait antara hasil tuaian padi dan nutrien N, P dan K tanah, agar julat nutrien tanah diperolehi untuk mencapai tumbesaran dan pengeluaran yang maksima berdasarkan variasi ruang hasil tuaian padi. Sampel tanah atas (0-15 cm) telah diambil menggunakan corak grid 20 m x 20 m sejurus sebelum padi (MR211) ditanam dan dianalisis untuk menyukat N jumlah, ketersediaan P dan K tukarganti di petak sawah tersebut. Alat GPS Geoexplorer II telah digunakan untuk merekod koordinat titik-titik sampel tanah dan sempadan plot bagi membantu interpretasi peta ruang dalam GIS dengan sistem unjuran yang sesuai. Hasil padi dan kelembapan bijirin padi direkodkan untuk sampel tuaian padi pada setiap ukuran grid 55 m x 30 m semasa proses menuai. Hubungkait antara hasil tuaian padi dan nutrien tanah (N, P dan K) dilakukan dengan

menggunakan analisis regresi. Analisis geostatistik digunakan untuk mencirikan variasi ruang nutrien tanah dan hasil tuaian padi. Pekali variasi nutrien (N, P dan K) tanah di kawasan kajian didapati melebihi 50% di mana variasi P tanah adalah sangat tinggi (130%). Terdapat hubungkait yang positif di antara setiap pasang nutrien tanah (N, P dan K). Analisis semivarian menunjukkan bahawa pergantungan ruang adalah di antara 350 hingga 450 m (2 sampel ha^{-1}). Peta nutrien tanah (N, P dan K) menunjukkan bahawa lebih 80% daripada luas kawasan setiap plot mengandungi kurang daripada 3 g kg^{-1} N tanah, kurang daripada 10 mg kg^{-1} P, manakala K adalah lebih daripada $0.45 \text{ cmol(+) kg}^{-1}$. Variasi hasil tuai padi adalah melebihi 47% dan menunjukkan perbezaan yang nyata di dalam dan antara kawasan. Semivarian hasil tuaian padi mencapai pada jarak 380 m, dan ini menunjukkan bahawa korelasi ruang adalah dalam lengkungan 2.6 ha ($70 \text{ m} \times 380 \text{ m}$) di mana 70 m adalah lebar dalam setiap plot. Kelembapan bijirin padi mempunyai sebaran secara rawak pada jarak melebihi 30 m dan tidak menunjukkan variasi yang nyata di dalam setiap petak sawah. Peta hasil tuaian padi menunjukkan bahawa di bahagian tengah setiap plot ($> 50\%$ daripada luas kawasan) menghasilkan padi yang lebih rendah ($< 3.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$) berbanding di bahagian kedua-dua tepinya. Kajian ini juga mendapati korelasi yang positif di antara setiap nutrien tanah (N, P dan K) dengan hasil tuaian padi. Pengaruh gabungan N, P dan K tanah terhadap hasil menunjukkan bahawa N dan P tanah dapat menerangkan 41% daripada variasi hasil, di mana P tanah adalah 36% dan N tanah 5% ($Y = 2.41 + 0.39 X_N + 0.20 X_P$; $R^2 = 0.41^{**}$, $n = 159$). Berasaskan kepada hubungkait tersebut, zon pengurusan khas disyorkan. Untuk mencapai hasil 5 tan ha^{-1} , N dan P perlu ditambah pada kawasan yang mengandungi N dan P yang sederhana dan rendah. Beberapa sifat tanah terpilih telah diukur pada beberapa lokasi dan didapati julat pH di antara 4.25 - 4.54, ketumpatan pukal $0.77\text{-}0.92 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, dan tekstur tanah adalah lempung (75-83% lempung). Perbezaan hasil yang dianggarkan daripada peta ruang dan tuaian sebenar daripada jentera penuai ialah $\pm 10\%$.

Untuk mencirikan jentera penuai padi, purata kadar kehilangan bijirin adalah 2% bagi jumlah hasil tuaian bijirin dan purata kapasiti jentera adalah $1.01 \text{ ha (jam)}^{-1}$ dengan kecekapan 72% dalam kapasiti ladang. Hasil penyelidikan menunjukkan interpretasi peta ruang berpotensi untuk menentukan zon pengurusan yang spesifik bagi tujuan pembajaan. GPS, GIS dan geostatistik adalah berguna untuk menilai nutrient tanah (N, P dan K), variasi ruang hasil dan interpretasinya. Penggabungan teknik ini merupakan satu kaedah yang bermanfaat bagi pengurusan baja secara spesifik untuk tanaman sejenis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude to his major supervisor, Professor Capt. Dr. Kamaruzaman Jusoff for overall enlightenment, suggestion and ancillary support for a successful job to the completion of this thesis. He is grateful to Associate Professor Ir. Dr Desa Ahmad and Professor Ir. Dr Wan Ishak Wan Ismail for their valuable suggestions in the combine machine performance and grain losses assessment. The author is also sincerely grateful to Dr Anuar Abdul Rahim for his precious idea in soil-chemical and statistical analysis. He is also indebted to every one of his supervisory committee members for their meaningful suggestions and encouragement which made it possible for him to complete the study.

Special thanks are due to The Centre for Precision Agriculture and Bioresource Remote Sensing, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for providing financial support (Research Assistantship). Thanks to the Ministry of Science and Technology, Malaysia for providing IRPA grants for the project under which this study was carried out. The author is also thankful to Mr. Tam Pak Siew, Managing Director, Sulam Sumber Sdn. Bhd. for cooperation and support during his field research activities.

Sincere thanks to the author's friends and colleagues who have contributed their support directly or indirectly to this work.

Finally, no words can express the contribution of the author's parents and every family member. Their constant blessings and moral support were the backbone to the success of the author in this study and at the end thanks to God.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 23rd August 2001 to conduct the final examination of Swapn Kumar Roy on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Nutrient and Yield Spatial Variability in a Commercial Malaysian Paddy Field" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Jamal Talib, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Kamaruzaman Jusoff, Ph.D.
Professor and Head
Center for Precision Agriculture and Bioresoure Remote Sensing
Institute of Bioscience
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ir. Desa Ahmad, Ph.D.
Associate Professor and Deputy Dean (Academic)
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ir. Wan Ishak Wan Ismail, Ph.D.
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Anuar Abdul Rahim, Ph.D.
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)


V.M. Salokhe, Ph.D.
Professor
Agricultural and Food Engineering Program (AFE)
School of Environment, Resources and Development (SERD)
Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand
(External Examiner)



MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 OCT 2001

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.



AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor
Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **13** DEC 2001

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

Swapan Kumar Roy

SWAPAN KUMAR ROY

Date: 16/10/2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	Page ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL SHEETS	x
DECLARATION FORM	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1.1
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	2.1
	2.1 Agricultural Crops in Malaysia	2.1
	2.1.1 Economic Structure of Malaysian Agriculture	2.2
	2.1.2 Agricultural Mechanization of Malaysia	2.3
	2.1.3 Rice Farming	2.5
	2.2 Application of Precision Farming	2.12
	2.2.1 Optimizing Fertilizer Application	2.14
	2.2.2 Sorting of Geography	2.14
	2.2.3 On-line Spray Precision	2.15
	2.2.4 Applying Lime and Fertilizer - to the Right Spots	2.15
	2.2.5 More Accurate Center Pivot Irrigation	2.16
	2.2.6 Learning from Crop Plants	2.17
	2.2.7 Cost of Sampling and Mapping	2.18
	2.2.8 Variable Rate Application (VRA)	2.19
	2.3 Harvesting Methods	2.20
	2.3.1 Harvesting Equipment	2.20
	2.3.2 Combine Harvester	2.22
	2.4 Global Positioning System in Agriculture	2.32
	2.4.1 GPS - Satellite Navigation System	2.32
	2.4.2 GPS Satellite Signals	2.37
	2.4.3 GPS Positioning Services	2.38
	2.4.4 GPS Error Sources	2.39
	2.4.5 GPS Data	2.41
	2.4.6 Differential GPS (DGPS) Techniques	2.41
	2.4.7 Application of GPS	2.43
	2.5 Soil Nutrients and Sampling Methods	2.47
	2.5.1 Primary Nutrients	2.47
	2.5.2 Secondary Nutrients	2.49
	2.5.3 Micronutrients	2.50
	2.5.4 Methods of Soil Sampling	2.50
	2.6 Spatial Variability	2.52



2.6.1	Geostatistics	2.52
2.6.2	Magnitude of Soil Spatial Variability	2.56
2.6.3	Mapping Soil Spatial Variability	2.58
2.6.4	Kriging Techniques in Soil Property Mapping	2.59
2.6.5	Crop Yield Mapping	2.64
2.6.6	Kriging Techniques in Yield Mapping	2.66
2.7	Geographical Information System (GIS) in Spatial Variability	2.68
2.7.1	Geographical Information System (GIS) for Precision Farming	2.68
2.7.2	Interpreting GIS Maps	2.69
2.7.3	Different GIS Data Format	2.69
2.7.4	Necessity of Remote Sensing in Precision Agriculture	2.70
3	MATERIALS AND METHOD	3.1
3.1	Description of Study Area	3.1
3.1.1	Climate and Soils	3.1
3.1.2	Plot Size and Facilities	3.4
3.2	Soil Sampling and Nutrient Mapping	3.7
3.2.1	Field Survey	3.7
3.2.2	Soil Sample Collection	3.10
3.2.3	Location Data Collection by GPS	3.14
3.2.4	Sample Preparation and Analysis	3.19
3.3	Yield Mapping and Relationship Establishment	3.25
3.3.1	Yield Mapping	3.25
3.3.2	Performance of Combine Harvester	3.37
3.3.3	Machine Operation Losses	3.44
3.3.4	Materials Other Than Grain (MOG) Determination	3.50
3.4	Yield comparison	3.51
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4.1
4.1	Processed Grid-point Location Map	4.1
4.2	Soil Nutrients Analysis	4.3
4.2.1	Variation Among Soil N, P and K	4.6
4.2.2	Soil Nutrients Statistics for Studied Plots	4.10
4.3	Spatial Distribution of Soil Nutrients	4.13
4.3.1	Spatial Distribution of Soil Nutrients in Plot B2	4.13
4.3.2	Spatial Distribution of Soil Nutrients in Plot B3	4.21
4.3.3	Spatial Distribution of Soil Nutrients in Plot B4	4.24
4.4	Other Soil Properties	4.27
4.4.1	Soil pH	4.27
4.4.2	Bulk Density	4.28
4.4.3	Soil Texture	4.29
4.5	Paddy Yield and Grain Moisture Content Analysis	4.30
4.5.1	Variation in Paddy Yields and Grain Moisture Content	4.30
4.5.2	Paddy Yield and Grain Moisture Statistics for Studied Plots	4.33

4.6 Spatial Distribution of Paddy Yield and Grain Moisture Content	4.35
4.6.1 Spatial Distribution of Paddy Yield and Grain Moisture Content in Plot B3	4.35
4.6.2 Spatial Distribution of Paddy Yield and Grain Moisture Content in Plot B4	4.41
4.7 Kriged Maps in Three Plots	4.45
4.8 Relationship Between Yields and Soil Nutrients	4.52
4.8.1 Management Zone for Site-specific Inputs	4.55
4.9 Performance of Combine Harvester	4.58
4.9.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of Used Combine Harvester	4.58
4.9.2 Problems Related to the Rice Combine Harvester	4.58
4.9.3 Breakdowns Occurred During Operation	4.59
4.9.4 Field Performance of the Used Combine Harvester	4.61
4.9.5 Machine Operation Losses	4.62
4.10 Presence of Materials Other Than Grain in Machine Harvested Paddy	4.63
4.11 Yield Comparison	4.64
 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	 5.1
REFERENCES	R.1
APPENDICES	A.1
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	B.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Import and export values of agricultural products/machines for Malaysia	2.3
2.2 Importation of combined harvester-thresher machine	2.4
2.3 Nutrient composition in rice grain for 100 g edible portion	2.6
2.4 Common pests (insects/diseases)	2.11
2.5 Estimate errors experienced by users of typical GPS and DGPS receivers	2.43
2.6 The average variograms behaviour parameters	2.58
4.1 Soil nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in different plots	4.4
4.2 Mean, standard deviation (Std. Dev.), co-efficient of variation (%), standard error and range of N, P and K for plots B2, B3 and B4	4.6
4.3 Ranges of Soil N, P and K in Malaysian soil	4.7
4.4 Components of variations of soil N, P and K in the study area	4.9
4.5 Correlation co-efficient (r) values of soil N, P and K at 0-15 cm depth in sample plots, n=240	4.9
4.6 Descriptive statistics of N, P and K in plot B2	4.11
4.7 Descriptive statistics of N, P and K in plot B3	4.12
4.8 Descriptive statistics of N, P and K in plot B4	4.12
4.9 Features of semivariograms for plot B2	4.14
4.10 Features of semivariograms for plot B3	4.21
4.11 Features of semivariograms for plot B4	4.24
4.12 Soil pH values at different location at plot B2	4.28
4.13 Soil bulk density at different positions in plots B2, B3 and B4	4.29



4.14	Paddy yield and grain moisture content values in different plots	4.31
4.15	Mean, standard deviation (Std. Dev.), co-efficient of variation (%) and range of paddy yields (t ha^{-1}) and grain moisture content (%) in wet basis	4.32
4.16	Components of yield and grain moisture variation in the research area	4.33
4.17	Descriptive statistics of yield and grain moisture content in plot B3	4.34
4.18	Descriptive statistics of yield and grain moisture content in plot B4	4.35
4.19	Features of semivariograms for plot B3	4.36
4.20	Features of semivariograms for plot B4	4.41
4.21	Areas under different ranges of soil nutrients and yield for different plots	4.50
4.22	Relationship between yields and each soil nutrients (N, P and K)	4.52
4.23	Field performance test of the rice combine harvester in plots	4.62
4.24	Grain losses on combine harvester	4.63
4.25	Materials Other than Grain (MOG) presence in harvested grain sample	4.64
4.26	Yield comparison	4.65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Panicles in matured paddy	2.6
2.2 The spatial variability cycle	2.12
2.3 An environmental-friendly closed loop system	2.13
2.4 The Harvester-Thresher of Grandfather's Day (20 Mule Team)	2.22
2.5 Combine harvester with special Discovery Cab in operation	2.25
2.6 Schematic arrangement of the basic functional components of a typical self-propelled combine	2.26
2.7 A hand-held GPS (GeoExplorer III) and haversack with antenna from Trimble Navigation Ltd.	2.33
2.8 Space segment showing 24 satellites around the earth	2.34
2.9a Control segment showing the satellite tracking stations	2.35
2.9b Uploading of clock data to SVs from control station through radio signals	2.35
2.10 GPS on user's hand	2.36
2.11 GPS position error (C-code with S/A)	2.39
2.12 Configuration of GPS	2.42
2.13 Location of the combine harvester determined by DGPS	2.45
2.14 Computed GPS position of combine harvester with 4-m cutter bar	2.46
2.15a Spherical isotropic model	2.54
2.15b Linear model	2.55
2.16 Phosphorus (mg kg^{-1}) variograms. Available P unless otherwise specified	2.57
2.17 Potassium (mg kg^{-1}) variograms. Available K unless otherwise specified	2.58



2.18a	Kriging variance of an estimate of phosphorus content	2.60
2.18b	Site specific P-fertilization map	2.61
2.19a	Semivariogram for P	2.62
2.19b	Map of P estimates (kg ha^{-1})	2.62
2.20a	Semivariogram for K	2.63
2.20b	Map of K estimates (kg ha^{-1})	2.63
2.21	Yield map of summer wheat	2.65
2.22a	Yield semi-variogram from impact-based yield monitor data with simple time delay model, no smoothing	2.67
2.22b	Yield map generated by the accumulation of grain mass in each grid cell	2.67
3.1	A map of Peninsular Malaysia showing the location of study area	3.2
3.2	Abandoned land next to the studied field (opposite to the highway)	3.3
3.3	The 40 ha rice field plots of Sulam Sumber Sdn. Bhd., Kahang, Johor	3.5
3.4a	Red marked pole on the irrigation canal side of the plot	3.6
3.4b	Red marked pole on the road side of the plot	3.6
3.5	Sampling points in studied plots	3.8
3.6	Step followed in nutrient (N, P and K) and sampling-point location mapping	3.9
3.7a	Post-harvested field with dry, hard and large clods	3.10
3.7b	Post-harvested field with very soft and wet areas	3.10
3.8	Grid-points shown in sample plot	3.11
3.9a	Surveying of grid-points using tape and ranging pole	3.13
3.9b	Collection of soil samples using an auger	3.13

3.10a	GeoExplorer II and antenna	3.14
3.10b	Recording of GPS reading	3.14
3.11	Data transferring and processing on computer	3.16
3.12	Air-drying of collected soil samples in glasshouse at UPM	3.19
3.13	pH meter for measuring soil pH	3.22
3.14	Core soil samples collected in the field	3.23
3.15	Oven-dried samples	3.23
3.16	Steps followed for rice yield mapping and regression analysis	3.26
3.17	Grid-points shown in paddy sample collection	3.27
3.18	Damage of paddy plants due to walking inside the plot	3.28
3.19	Harvesting sickle and the square frame (1 m x 1 m)	3.29
3.20	Harvesting of paddy from the square frame	3.29
3.21	Removing of rice grain from plants	3.31
3.22	Recording of grain weight and moisture content	3.31
3.23	Five grain samples for calibration of moisture meter	3.32
3.24	Calibration chart for moisture meter	3.33
3.25	Combine harvester used in harvesting	3.37
3.26	Combine harvester operation in plot A4	3.38
3.27	Top view of grain tank	3.38
3.28	Unloading of grain in standing lorry	3.41
3.29	Combine harvesting path (headland pattern from boundaries)	3.42
3.30	Checking rice grain losses in paddy field	3.44
3.31a	Collection of grain for preharvest losses	3.45
3.31b	Few grains observed on the ground	3.45

3.32	Collection of grain for cutterbar loss	3.46
3.33	Collection of straw containing for threshing loss	3.47
3.34	Removing of grain from collected straw for threshing loss	3.47
3.35	Collection of grain for separator loss	3.48
3.36	Windowing machine for cleaning	3.49
3.37	Seed-counting machine	3.50
3.38	Seed calibration chart	3.50
3.39	Collected MOG (sieved and windowed) and the clean grain	3.51
4.1	Grid-point location map for the study plots	4.2
4.2	Semivariograms for N (a), P (b) and K (c) for plot B2	4.15
4.3	Spatial distribution of total N, P and K in plot B2	4.18
4.4a	Middle area of the plot B2 observed with stunted and reduced paddy plant growth	4.20
4.4b	Right-side of plot B2 observed with healthy paddy plants	4.20
4.5	Semivariograms for N (a), P (b) and K (c) for plot B3	4.22
4.6	Spatial distribution of total N, P and K in plot B3	4.23
4.7	Semivariograms for N (a), P (b) and K (c) for plot B4	4.25
4.8	Spatial distribution of total N, P and K in plot B4	4.26
4.9	Semivariograms for yield (a) and moisture content (b) for plot B3	4.37
4.10	Spatial distribution of paddy yields and grain moisture content in plot B3	4.38
4.11	Middle area of plot B3 with yield lower than 3.5 t ha ⁻¹	4.40
4.12	Closest view of left side of plot B3 with paddy yield above 5 t ha ⁻¹	4.40
4.13	Semivariograms for yield (a) and moisture content (b) for plot B4	4.42

4.14	Spatial distribution of paddy yield and grain moisture content in plot B4	4.43
4.15	Poor growth of paddy with yield lower than 2 t ha ⁻¹ in the middle area of plot B4	4.44
4.16	Wet area at the right-side plot B4 with yield above 5 t ha ⁻¹	4.44
4.17	Spatial distribution of N in studied plots	4.46
4.18	Spatial distribution of P in studied plots	4.47
4.19	Spatial distribution of K in studied plots	4.48
4.20	Spatial distribution of paddy yield in studied plots	4.49
4.21	Nutrients (N, P and K) and yield data on MapInfo platform	4.51
4.22	Spatial distribution of yield with special care zone indicated for plot B3 and B4	4.56
4.23	Break down of cutter bar	4.60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$\gamma(h)$	semivariance, (unit) ²
Ao	range, m
Co	nugget variance, (unit) ²
Co+C	sill, (unit) ²
EFC	effective field capacity, ha h ⁻¹
FE	field efficiency, %
h	lag distance, m
n	number of observations or pairs
s	time in second
t	ton
TFC	theoretical field capacity, ha h ⁻¹
T	total time, s
T _o	time loss for other activities, s
T _{rfu}	time loss for refueling, s
T _{rm}	time loss for repairs, s
T _t	net harvesting time, s
T _{turn}	time loss for turning, s
T _{uld}	time loss for unloading, s
Z _i , Z _{i+h}	pairs of sample of observation separated by h, unit

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Precision farming (PF), alias prescription farming, site-specific farming, or variable-rate application, is currently the focus for many research and technology activities. The goal for PF is to optimize inputs to produce the highest possible net return on investment and be environmental friendly. Microprocessor control systems for adjusting fertilizer, spraying, irrigation, and seeding rates; Global Positioning System (GPS) for determining field location; and yield monitors for measuring the results as a function of position have advanced rapidly. If the inputs (such as seed, fertilizer and spray) can be to right amount, then money could save without wasting valuable materials. On the other hand, we could get higher yields because the recommended application rate is also in optimal rate, and thereby, we can avoid environmental problems. There are two distinct parts to 'precision farming'. One is the technical aspect, whereby farmers are enabled to apply exactly the right amount of inputs at the right place using modern electronics. The other vital aspect is quality of management in which a farmer integrates the high technology into the farm business to increase efficiency, yields and profits.

Over the last 10 years, precision agriculture has gained an increasing profile in the agricultural community. Although considerable research effort has been expanded, it is still only a minority of farmers who practice any part of this new concept. In the context of Malaysia, the practice of precision agriculture is in primitive stage compared to U.S. and Western Europe. The basis of precision agriculture – the